iMedPub Journals www.imedpub.com

DOI: 10.36648/2471-8203.6.3.100052

Performance of Mid-Upper Arm as Screening Tool for Identifying Adolescents with Overweight and Obesity

Hamid Yimam H^{*}

Department of Public Health, College of Health Sciences, MizanTepi University, MizanTeferi, Ethiopia

*Corresponding author: Hamid Yimam H, Department of Public Health, College of Health Sciences, MizanTepi University, MizanTeferi, Ethiopia, Tel: 32466298748; E-mail: abdulhamidy71@gmail.com

Received date: July 6, 2020; Accepted date: July 20, 2020; Published date: July 27, 2020

Citation: Hamid Yimam H (2020) Performance of Mid-Upper Arm as Screening Tool for Identifying Adolescents with Overweight and Obesity. J Obes Eat Disord Vol.6 No. 3:8. DOI: 10.36648/2471-8203.6.3.100052

Copyright: ©2020 Hamid Yimam H. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Introduction

Adolescent overweight and obesity is a major public health problem with far-reaching and long-term adverse health outcomes. Overweight and obesity are disorders of positive energy balance commonly caused by the consumption of highenergy foods and sedentary behavior, combined with an inherent susceptibility to weight gain. Adolescents with overweight and obesity are prone to be obese in their adulthood and are at higher risk of developing non-communicable diseases including high blood pressure, type 2 diabetes, cardiovascular diseases, sleep apnea, and cholelithiasis.

Body mass index (BMI) Z score is a widely used measure to identify overweight and obesity in school-age children and adolescents (5–19 years). Despite its popularity, BMI Z score is less preferred by minimally trained healthcare workers and its measuring equipment are relatively expensive and require regular calibration. Moreover, it is time consuming to measure weight, height, and interpret the value with a reference chart. An important approach to promote early identification and surveillance of overweight and obesity among adolescents is developing an easy to use, inexpensive and reliable screening tool for identifying adolescents with overweight and obesity.

MUAC is a simple and cheap screening tool used to identify moderate and severe acute under nutrition among under-five children (6–59 months of age) in low and middle-income countries. MUAC has also been used for numerous years as a screening tool for identifying under nutrition among under-five children and adults in situations, such as famines and refugee crisis, where height and weight measurements are difficult to perform. Pregnant women's nutritional status, both under nutrition and obesity could reliably be assessed using MUAC in low-resource settings.

MUAC has the potential to be a practical, low cost, simple, and reliable measuring tool to identify adolescents with overweight and obesity. Few studies have indicated that MUAC is a valid measure to identify overweight and obesity in schoolage children (5–9 years) and early adolescents (10–14 years). However, little is known about the ability of MUAC to identify overweight and obesity among adolescents aged 15–19 years.

The present study aimed to evaluate the performance of MUAC to identify overweight in the late adolescence period (15-19) years) in Ethiopia.

ISSN 2471-8203

Materials and Methods

Journal of Obesity & Eating Disorders

Study setting, design, and participants

A school-based, cross-sectional study was conducted among high school adolescents aged 15 to 19 years in selected public and private high schools of Addis Ababa. Addis Ababa is the capital city of Ethiopia; with a population of homogeneous racial identity. The city is divided into 10 sub-cites. There are 635,903 adolescents; of this 385,713 are between the ages of 15–19 years. The city has a total of 219 high schools, of which 73 are public and 146 are private schools[1].

Adolescents, aged 15–19 years, who were attending classes in the selected private and public high schools (Grade 9–12) of Addis Ababa were eligible to be included in the study. Whereas, adolescents with physical deformity that could affect height and weight measurement were excluded from the study. Besides, those who refused any of the anthropometric measurements were also excluded.

Sample size and sampling procedure

The sample size was determined by using the diagnostic accuracy test study sample size calculation formula, assuming a sensitivity of 95.2%, a specificity of 89.9%, and a prevalence of overweight/obesity, 13.9% among adolescent students in Addis Ababa, with a 5% margin of error, a design effect of 1.5 and 10% non-respondent rate. Based on these assumptions, the final sample size was 877 [2].

A multistage sampling technique was employed to select adolescents for the study. A total of 15 schools (10 private and 5 public schools) were selected using the lottery method, then the samples were distributed proportionally between public (546 participants) and private schools (327 participants). Four sections from each selected school (one from each grade level) were selected randomly. Finally, we used the students list to randomly select study participants from each section.

Study procedures and measurements

Anthropometric measurements were performed by trained field workers, using standard techniques. MUAC was measured on the non-dominant arm using non-stretchable plastic tape at the midpoint between the olecranon and the acromial process after the arm is flexed to 90 degrees from the elbow. Then, the arm was relaxed, the MUAC tape was placed around the marked midpoint of the arm, neither too loss nor too tight and the measurement was recorded to the nearest 0.1 cm. MUAC was measured twice for each subject and the average was used for analysis. When the difference between the two measurements was >0.5cm, the measurement was repeated, then the average of the repeated measurements was taken for analysis [3-5]. To minimize incorporation bias, MUAC measurements were taken before weight and height measurements. Immediately after measuring MUAC, height and weight measurements were performed. The BMI Z score for all participants was calculated after the completion of the data collection, which avoids incorporation bias to the measurements.

To compute BMI Z score, height was measured barefoot with head in the Frankfort position to the nearest 0.1 cm and weight was measured barefoot with light cloth to the nearest 0.1 kg using a digital scale. To ensure measurement accuracy, the scale was checked for zero reading before each participant and calibrated regularly with an iron bar of 5 Kg. Weight and height for each participant were measured twice and the average was used for analysis [6].

To define overweight (including obesity), we used the World Health Organization BMI Z score reference. BMI Z score ±1SD is considered as overweight (including obesity), BMI Z score is chosen as a reference test since a high BMI Z score can be an indicator of high body fatness. Even though BMI Z score does not measure body fat directly, it is correlated with direct measures of body fat. Furthermore, it is the most commonly used tool and the only available method in resource-limited settings like Ethiopia.

All anthropometric measurers had participated in a standardization exercise. The anthropometric measurers took repeated measurements of ten adolescents in two teams, one measurer each. Each measurer took two height, weight, and MUAC measurements for ten participants. We then compared the technical error of measurement for weight, height, and MUAC with reference values. All the technical errors of measurements were within the acceptable range.

Statistical Analysis

Data were entered using EpiData version 4.4.2.0 and exported to STATA version 15.1 for further processing and analysis. The data of participants with missing measurements either for MUAC, weight, or height were excluded from the analysis. Descriptive statistics including mean/median, standard deviation (SD), and percentages were applied to summarize the study participants characteristics. Frequency (percentages) was used to estimate the prevalence of overweight and obesity among adolescents. For continuous variables (MUAC, BMI Z score and age), normality was checked using Shapiro-Wilknormality test and visualized using Q-Q plots. We found that the data have a deviation from normality for MUAC, BMI Z score, and age (P-value < 0.05).

Hence, we conducted a Spearman's rank correlation with 95% confidence interval to obtain insight into the strength of linear relationship between MUAC, BMI Z score, and age [7-9].

We computed the area under the ROC curve (discrimination) and a calibration plot (calibration) to evaluate the accuracy of MUAC to identify overweight/obesity among adolescents. The area under the ROC curve (AUC) determines the overall level of accuracy. An AUC of 0.5 indicating no predictive ability higher than random chance, whereas AUC of 1 indicates perfect diagnostic performance. The categories used to summarize the accuracy of AUC in ROC analysis were as follows: excellent (0.9-1), good (0.8-0.9), fair (0.7-0.8), poor (0.6-0.7) and fail (0.5-0.6). We also constructed a calibration curve of the predicted probability (using MUAC) in the x-axis against the true probability of overweight (BMI) in the y-axis. To examine the accuracy of MUAC between the sexes, we performed a subgroup analysis for males and females separately. The AUCs were adjusted for overfitting or over-optimism using a bootstrapping technique. To this end, we draw 1000 random bootstrap samples with replacement from the dataset with complete data for MUAC and BMI Z score. The predictive performance after bootstrapping is considered as the performance that can be expected when MUAC is applied to future similar populations. The optimism coefficient was computed by subtracting the original performance measure from the AUC after bootstrapping (AUCboot-AUCorigina). The optimal cut-off point was determined using the highest Youden index (J=Sensitivity +Specificity-1).

The discriminatory ability and predictive value of MUAC cutoff points against BMI Z score ≥+1 SD. MUAC compared to BMI Z score were assessed using sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, negative predictive value, positive and negative likelihood ratio with 95% confidence interval. Sensitivity is the proportion of true positive (adolescents classified as overweight/obese by MUAC and BMI Z score) in the total adolescents classified as overweight/ obese by BMI Z score: TP/(TP+FN). Specificity is the proportion of true negative (adolescents classified as non-overweight/non-obese by MUAC and BMI Z score) in the total adolescents classified as nonoverweight/non-obese obese by BMI Z score: TN/(TN+FP) [10]. Negative predictive value tells us how likely an adolescent is not overweight and obese if categorized by MUAC as nonoverweight/non-obese: TN/(TN+FN). Positive predictive value tells us how likely an adolescent is to be overweight and obese if categorized by MUAC as overweight/ obese: TP/(TP+FP). Negative likelihood ratio tells us how an adolescent is not overweight/obesity based on BMI z score is more likely to be categorized as non-overweight/non-obese by MUAC as compared to an adolescent with overweight/obesity based on BMI Z score: (1-sensitivity) / specificity. Positive likelihood ration tells us how much more likely the MUAC categorized overweight/ obesity result is to occur in subjects with overweight/obesity compared to those without overweight and obesity: sensitivity / (1 – specificity).

This study is reported in accordance with the STARD (Standards for Reporting Diagnostic accuracy studies) 2015 statement, which included a 30-item checklist to give guidance for reporting [11].

Participant Consent and Ethical Approval

First, ethical clearance was obtained from the ethical review board of Addis Ababa University. Then a support letter was obtained from Addis Ababa University, School of Public Health, and submitted to Addis Ababa City Education Bureau. Permission was obtained from the education departments of sub-cities and the school principals of selected schools. Written informed consent was obtained from all adolescents aged greater than 18 years, whereas for those aged below 18 parental assent was obtained.

Results

Out of 877 adolescents who were approached, 851 has participated in the study. Twenty-six students were not included into the study due to the following reasons: twenty-one were absent on the scheduled days, five of them refused to remove their shoes and heavy clothes for anthropometric measurement **(Table 1 and Figure 1).**



 Table 1: Characteristics of study participants stratified by sex (n=851).

Variables	Males (n=456)	Females (n=395)	Total (n=851)				
	Mean (±SD)	Mean (±SD)	Mean (±SD)				
Age (Years)	16.8 ± 1.17	16.6 ± 1.0	16.7± 1.1				
Height (cm)	168.7± 6.8	157.0± 6.3	163.3± 8.8				
Weight (Kg)	56.6±10.4	52.8 ±10.3	54.9 ±10.6				
BMI Z Score (SD)	-0.8 ±1.2	-0.05 ±1.1	-0.44 ±1.2				
MUAC (cm)	25.3± 3.2	25.7 ±3.4	25.45 ±3.33				
BMI: Body Mass Index; MUAC: Mid-Upper Arm Circumference.							

Prevalence of overweight and obesity

The overall prevalence of overweight among high school adolescents in Addis Ababa was 11.2% (95% Cl; 9.2–13.5%),

whereas the prevalence of obesity was 3.3% (95% CI; 2.3–4.7%) (Figure 2).



Figure 2: Nutritional status of high school adolescents in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, 2019.

Relationship between MUAC, BMI Z score, and age

We found that MUAC was strongly correlated with BMI Z score, r=0.81 (95% CI; 0.79–0.84). However, MUAC was poorly correlated with adolescents' age, r=0.15 (95% CI; 0.08–0.21).

The ROC and calibration of MUAC to diagnose overweight among adolescents

Overall, the area under the ROC (AUC) of MUAC was 0.96 (95% CI; 0.94–0.97). The AUC after bootstrapping was 0.95 (95% CI; 0.94–0.96) with the average optimism of 0.007. The calibration graph shows that despite minimal underestimation at very low risk, the calibration was on average acceptable and the calibration test was not statistically significant (P-value=0.06) (Figures 3-6).



Figure 3: ROC curve showing performance of MUAC to identify overweight / obesity in adolescents (n=851).



Figure 4: Calibration of MUAC for identifying overweight/ obesity adolescents (n=851).The AUC for MUAC against our reference method (BMI Z score defined overweight) was excellent for males 0.96 (95% CI; 0.93–0.98) and females 0.96 (95% CI; 0.94–0.98).

Journal of Obesity & Eating Disorders

ISSN 2471-8203

Vol.6 No.3:8



Figure 5: ROC curve showing performance of MUAC to identify overweight/obesity in adolescent males (n=456).

Based on the Youden index, the optimal MUAC cut-offs to identify overweight were 27.75 cm for males and 27.9 cm for females. This cutoff point gives high sensitivity and specificity for both males and females (sensitivity 94.1%, 90.3%; specificity 89.1%, 90.7% respectively). Moreover, MUAC can correctly identify the majority of adolescents with or without overweight (89.1% for males and 90.7% for females) **(Tables 2 and 3).**



Figure 6: ROC curve showing performance of MUAC to identify overweight/obesity in adolescent females (n=395).

Overweight and Obesity according to optimal MUAC cut-offs1	Overweight and Obesity according to BMI Z score	Total				
	Yes	No				
Yes	112	71	183			
No	11	657	668			
Total	123	728	851			
Optimal cutoff will be estimated under the Youden Index from our data.						

Table 3: Area under the receiver operating characteristics curve, sensitivities, specificities, positive predictive values, negative predictive values, positive likelihood ratio, negative likelihood ratio, correctly classified, Youden index, and optimal cut-off values of mid-upper-arm circumference in predicting overweight (n=851).

Sex	Sensitivity (%)	Specificity (%)	PPV (%)	NPV (%)	LR+	LR-	Correctly clasified (%)	Youden Index	Cut off point (cm)
	(95% CI)	(95% CI)	(95% CI)	(95% CI)	(95% CI)	(95% CI)			
Males	94.1	89.1	52.2	99.2	8.7	0.07	89.7	0.83	≥27.75
	(83.8-98.8)	(85.7-92)	(45-89.3)	(97.6-99. 7)	(6.5-11.5)	(0.0-0.2)			
Female s	90.3	90.7	68.4	97.7	9.7	0.11	90.6	0.81	≥27.90
	(81-96)	(87-93.6)	(60.4-75 .4)	(95.4-98. 8)	(6.9-13.8)	(0.1-0.2)			
Total	91.1	90.3	61.7	98.3	9.3	0.1	90.4	0.81	≥27.95
	(84.6-95.5)	(87.9-92.3)	(56.2-66 .9)	(97.1-99)	(7.4-11.7)	(0.1-0.2)			

CI: Confidence interval; LR+: Positive livelihood ratio; LR-: Negative livelihood ratio; NPV: Negative predictive value; PPV: Positive predictive value

Discussion

The present study showed that MUAC is an alternative measurement tool to identify overweight (including obesity) in adolescents aged 15–19 years. MUAC was strongly associated

with BMI Z score for the total sample, suggesting that it can identify overweight in adolescents as accurate as BMI Z score. The AUC results (i.e., 0.96) showed MUAC has relatively equivalent diagnostic performance compared to BMI Z score in identifying adolescents with overweight/obesity.

This study found that MUAC has a high area under the AUC. Our study is supported by the findings of a recent study conducted among Chinese children aged 7–12 years reported an AUC value range between 0.93 and 0.98 based on selected age and sex (MUAC vs. BMI Z score defined overweight/obesity). Likewise, a study done on Black South African children and adolescents aged 5–14 years showed MUAC has AUC values range between 0.90 and 0.97 as compared to BMI Z score to identify overweight. Another study conducted on Indian children and adolescents aged 5–14 years showed MUAC had an AUC value range between 0.92 and 0.98 for identifying overweight.

Relevant studies that compared percent body fat with MUAC and BMI are scant. A study by Craig E. and his associates evaluated the performance of MUAC in comparison with BMI Z score %body fat measured by bioelectrical impendency, and found that MUAC accuracy was higher for BMI than for %body fatness. However, due to lack of studies that compare MUAC and BMI with the reference standard, i.e. %body fat (total body water or multi-component methods), it is still inconclusive whether MUAC or BMI has a better accuracy.

The present study found that, the optimal MUAC cut-off points to identify adolescent overweight are 27.75 and 27.9 cm for males and females, respectively. In previous studies, the proposed optimal MUAC cut-off to identify overweight/obesity range between 22.2 and 25.5 cm among study participants (age ranged between 7 and 15 years). In addition, the proposed cut off points to identify overweight among Turkish adolescents aged 15-17 years old range between 24.9 and 25.7 cm depending on age. However, cut-off points determined by our study are higher than those reported by the previous studies. This might be due to an increase in MUAC with age; late adolescents (15–19 years) MUAC is expected to be higher than that of adolescents (10–17 years), this might result a higher cut-off point in late adolescents.

The present study provides evidence that MUAC may also be used as an alternative tool to measure overweight/obesity in late adolescents aged 15–19 years. Color-coded MUAC tape, red for obese, amber for overweight, and green for normal weight may also be considered for non-numerate field workers to facilitate screening. MUAC is weakly associated with the age of participants, this indicates adjustments may be necessary for the age of adolescents.

An ideal measure for detecting adolescent overweight and obesity should be reliable, inexpensive and easy to use. While, evaluating MUAC as a measure of overweight and obesity has several key advantages: inexpensive, only a measuring tape is required, the measurements can be done easily in communities or schools, the interpretation can be easily understood by adolescents and families.

This study has its own strength and limitations. The strength of the present study is that we used a standardized measurement protocol and rigorous quality control measures to ensure high-quality data. The main limitation of this study is that we did not use the gold standard measures of percentage body fat, due to lack of equipment for the gold standard measures (total body water or multi-component methods) in our setting. BMI Z score is a commonly used method to identify adolescents with overweight and obesity. Although BMI Z score is correlated with percent body fat, it cannot distinguish between lean and fat mass. Since we compare MUAC to BMI Z score, MUAC will have similar limitations to BMI Z Score. Moreover, adjustments may also be necessary for age given that the age of adolescents has been found to impact BMI Z score and body fat composition, but due to relatively small sample size, this study could not estimate age and sex specific MUAC cut-offs. Even though obesity is more important than overweight in regard to the risk of metabolic syndrome and adverse health outcomes, we were not able to determine cut-offs specifically for obesity due to the relatively small sample size.

Conclusion

In conclusion, MUAC has relatively equivalent accuracy with BMI Z score to identify overweight /obesity among 15–19 years old adolescents. Hence, MUAC could be used as an alternative tool for surveillance and screening of overweight in adolescents aged 15–19 years in Ethiopia. We recommend future studies to evaluate the accuracy of MUAC compared to the reference standard indicators of adiposity (total body water or multicomponent methods), with a nationally representative and adequate sample size for each sex and age group. Determining the age and sex specific cutoff points for obesity is also recommended. We further suggest future studies to compare weather MUAC or BMI Z score is more accurate in comparison with the reference standard techniques of total body fat measures.

References

- Irahara T, Sato N, Otake K, Murata S, Inoue K, et al. (2020)Neuromuscular electrical stimulation improves energy substrate metabolism and survival in mice with acute endotoxic shock. Shock53:236-241.
- Irahara T, Sato N, Otake K, Matsumura S, Inoue K, et al. (2018) Alterations in energy substrate metabolism in mice with different degrees of sepsis. J Surg Res227:44-51.
- Irahara T, Sato N, Inoue K, Otake K, Ohtsuru S, et al. (2016) Lowintensity exercise in the acute phase of lipopolysaccharideinduced sepsis improves lipid metabolism and survival in mice by stimulating PGC-1α expression. J Trauma Acute Care Surg80:933-940.
- Hirose T, Shiozaki T, Shimizu K, Mouri T, Noguchi K, et al. (2013) The effect of electrical muscle stimulation on the prevention of disuse muscle atrophy in patients with consciousness disturbance in the intensive care unit. J Crit Care28: e531-537.
- Gerovasili V, Stefanidis K, Vitzilaios K, Karatzanos E, Politis P, et al. (2009)Electrical muscle stimulation preserves the muscle mass of critically ill patients: a randomized study. Crit Care13:R161.
- Dirks ML, Hansen D, Van Assche A, Dendale P, Van Loon LJ (2015) Neuromuscular electrical stimulation prevents muscle wasting in critically ill comatose patients. ClinSci (Lond)128:357-365.
- Angelopoulos E, Karatzanos E, Dimopoulos S, Mitsiou G, Stefanou C, et al. (2013)Acute microcirculatory effects of medium frequency versus high frequency neuromuscular electrical stimulation in critically ill patients - a pilot study. Ann Intensive Care3:39.

- Segers J, Hermans G, Bruyninckx F, Meyfroidt G, Langer D, et al. (2014) Feasibility of neuromuscular electrical stimulation in critically ill patients. J Crit Care 29:1082-1088.
- 9. Fossat G, Baudin F, Courtes L, Bobet S, Dupont A, et al. (2018) Effect of in-bed leg cycling and electrical stimulation of the quadriceps on global muscle strength in critically ill adults: a randomized clinical trial. JAMA320:368-378.
- Ye Q, Ye L, Xu X, Huang B, Zhang X, et al. (2012) Epigallocatechin-3gallate suppresses 1-methyl-4-phenyl-pyridine-induced oxidative

stress in PC12 cells via the SIRT1/PGC-1alpha signaling pathway. BMC Complement Altern Med12:82.

 Lagouge M, Argmann C, Gerhart-Hines Z, Meziane H, Lerin C, et al. (2006) Resveratrol improves mitochondrial function and protects against metabolic disease by activating SIRT1 and PGC-1alpha. Cell127:1109-1122.